

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/697,801	10/31/2003	Do-Young Kim	Q77358	1154		
23373 7590 09/10/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SAINT CYR, JEAN D			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2425			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/10/2010	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,801	KIM, DO-YOUNG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEAN Duclos SAINT CYR	2425	

	JEAN Duclos SAINT CYR	2425						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.314. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no exhibit the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	- I	The state of the state of the state of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) hy raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Americanient (102-324).					
 Applicant's lepty has overcome the binowing rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Brian T Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425								

Section 11: Applicant argues that Kondo et al did not disclose! whenever a selected channel number is selected from among the N channels number for which the EPG information is displayed, funing the selection channel and updating only 16 information corresponding to the selected channel number and the applicant tries to show that Kondo et al update EPG information of all channels when a channel is selected.

However, Kondo et al clearly disclose the system receives the change channel request, displays the selected channel video, and updates the program and system information of the newly selected channel prior to displaying the updated EPG, col.7, lines 60-67; col.4, lines 26-37; the system funes to the broadcast frequency of the selected channel, and the EPG of the system displays only updated program and system information for that channel, col.12, lines 1-38; from this information, it is clear that only the EPG information corresponding to the new selected channel is updated, but not EPG information of all channels. Kondo is very specific in disclosing the EPG of the system displays only updated program and system information for that channel, but not for all channels. (12, lines 1-38).

Hence, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The finality of the last office action is proper, meets all claims limitations and maintained.